Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision			Administrative	
		Operational Decision		Decision	
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	☐ below £25,00	00	☐ below £25,000	
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	£25,000 to £100,000		£25,000 to £100,000	
	over £1,000,000	∑ £100,000 to £	£500,000		
		Over £500,00	00		
Director ¹	Resources				
Contact person:	Craig Simpson	Т	Telephone nu	ımber: 0113 378 5416	
Subject ² :	Authority to award contract	s to support LBS	delivery of f	encing works to domestic	
	and civic properties as part of the responsive maintenance and repairs programme.				
	and divid proportion and part of the responsive maintenance and repairs programme.				
Decision	What decision has been taken?				
details ³ :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in				
	relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)				
	The Chief Officer Civic Enterprise Leeds noted the contents of the "Fencing				
	Repairs to Domestic and Civic Properties" contract award report and approved the				
	award of contracts to :-TD Barker Construction Ltd, Care Landscape Maintenance				
	T/A Care Fencing, and Copeland Fencing, for a period of two years (between 7 th				
	November 2022				
	and 6 th November 2024) with the option to extend for a further 12 months, with an				
	estimated total contract value of £450,000 (including any extension).				
	Commerce total contract value of 2 100,000 (moluting any extension).				
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision				
	(Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having				
	consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)				
	This report is on the tender evaluation of bids and seeks authority to award				
	contracts following a procurement exercise in accordance with Contract Procedure				
	Rule 3.1.7 to support Leeds Building Services (LBS) with fencing works to				
	domestic and civic properties, and to ensure prevention of an increase in the				
	backlog in the short to medium term.				

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.
² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list ³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

LBS has identified a need to maximise their strategy to engage several single trade based contractors to deliver fencing works to domestic and civic properties located within the City of Leeds.

The Council's procurement service and PACS commercial team have both been consulted, are all supportive of the proposals contained within this report and are all contributing towards preparation of the tender documents.

Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision

- The alternative option to approving this contract award, is to abort the procurement activity and rely on LBS' direct workforce for all fencing works in housing and civic properties. This is not recommended as a viable solution as LBS do not currently employ enough fencing operatives directly as part of their workforce and require external provision to support delivering any fencing works. Due to this, there will be a risk of high non-contract spend, and decreased quality and impact on delivery of service provision provided to tenants and customers/employees on behalf of housing and civic clients.
- 2 Consideration has been given to awarding all work to the lowest priced tender, however having a sole contractor for provision of this work would leave the authority at significant risk of not delivering the repair services should the selected organisation encounter financial instability or capacity issues. Awarding the contract to more than one contractor ensures there are contingency measures in place should we encounter a contractor failure.

Affected wards:

City Wide

Executive Member

Ward Councillors

Chief Digital and Information Officer⁵

Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer⁶

Others

• Head of Leeds Building Services

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

⁵ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of digital technology

⁶ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's land and buildings.

	Senior Financial Manager for Leeds Building Services				
	Leeds Building Services Key Stakeholders,				
	Procurement and Commercial Services (inc. Legal),				
	• CEL WAM/WBR				
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation				
	Thomas Regan				
	Contract Award – October 2022				
List of	Date Added to List:-				
Forthcoming	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is				
Key Decisions ⁷	impracticable to delay the decision				
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval				
	Signature	Date			
Publication of	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason				
report ⁸	why not possible:				
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval				
	Signature	Date			
Call In	Is the decision available ⁹ Yes	⊠ No			
	for call-in?				
	If exempt from call-in, the reason why	call-in would prejudice the interests of the			
	council or the public:				
Approval of	Authorised decision maker ¹⁰				
Decision	Sarah Martin Chief Officer Civic Enterprise Leeds				
	Signature	Date			
	S. harting				
		19 th October 2022			
L	1	1			

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only
⁸ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only
⁹ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.
¹⁰ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.